

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

					1 7	
APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,312		12/07/2001	Jong-Chull Shon	1594.1025	1594.1025 7927	
21171	7590	07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & F	STAAS & HALSEY LLP VAN, QUANG T				JANG T	
SUITE 700					<u> </u>	
1201 NEW Y	ORK AV	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT		•	3742			

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-M					
Advisory Action	10/005,312	SHON, JONG-CHUL	T 1/0 /					
,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Quang T Van	3742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess					
HE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
<i>,</i> = , , , , = , , , = , , , , , , , , ,								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:							
Claim(s) allowed: 29,32,36-48 and 53.								
Claim(s) objected to: 49-52.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-26,30,31,33 and 35</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27-28</u> .								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme								
10. Other:								
To Outer		I was the	_					
	0	Quang T Van						
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742						

Advisory Action

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The after amendment is not entered because the limitation of claims 49-52 have not been earlier treated with independent claim 16.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,312

Art Unit: 3742

Continuation of 5. NOTE: Applicant argues that "Miyoshi et al. does not suggest that the moisture contacts a space between the membrane 4 and the substrate 1". The examiner disagrees. Nowhere in the claims have limitation such as "the moisture contacts a space between the membrane 4 and the substrate 1". In claim 16, the gap is formed between the first electrode and the second electrode and "the gas is received into the gap such that the liquid forms a conductive path between said first and second electrodes as to vary a resistance across the gap" recited in lines 8-9. Miyoshi et al. does disclose the gas is received into the gap such that the liquid forms a conductive path between said first and second electrodes as to vary a resistance across the gap (see figure below). Further, the claim does not limit the gap being a space between the membrane 4 and the substrate 1; therefore, Miyoshi et al. still read on the claim limitations.

Page 2

